# How does the industry address the 3 R's Reduce – Replace – Refine

# Harmonisation of the Care and Use of Fish in Research

Kjersti Gravningen PHARMAQ AS May 25. 2005



## Limitations

- PHARMAQ AS presents its view as a representative of the fish vaccine industry.
- Fish vaccines are veterinary medicinal products, which are licensed through a strict regulatory framework
- The presentation and discussion are thus limited to use of experimental animals related to the requirements for documentation, development, release and maintenance of fish vaccines in Europe





## **Content of the presentation**

- Regulatory framework
- Development and documentation process
- Fish used for
  - Development
  - Documentation
  - Field tests
- Fish used for batch release
- Reduce, Refine and Replace
- Conclusion



# Regulatory framework Licensing documentation

- European Monographs
  - Mandatory
  - Must be implemented for all <u>new and existing</u> products
- Guidelines and Position papers
  - Neither mandatory for the industry nor the authorities



The framework sets the standard the industry applies





## Regulatory framework Pharmacopoeia

- Evaluation of safety of veterinary vaccines (Ph. Eur. 5.2.6)
- Evaluation of efficacy of veterinary vaccines (Ph. Eur. 5.2.7)
- Furunculosis vaccine (inactivated, oil-adjuvanted, injectable) for salmonids (Ph. Eur. 1521)
- Vibriosis (Cold water) vaccine (Inactivated) for salmonids (Ph. Eur. 1580)
- Vibriosis vaccine (inactivated) for salmonids (Ph. Eur. 1581)

#### Mandatory for the industry





### Regulatory framework Guidelines and Position Papers

- Guideline on good clinical practice (CVMP/VICH/595/98)
- Good Laboratory Practice
- The general requirement for the production and control of live and inactivated vaccines intended for fish (81/852/EEC)
- Data requirement for removing the target animal safety test for immunological veterinary medicinal products in EU (EMEA/CVMP/865/03 Final)

#### Guidelines may be deviated, when thoroughly justified





## Development and documentation process From R&D to market



The development and documentation process include fish-studies



### Development and documentation process From R&D to market







## Fish currently used General methods used in fish

- Administration of vaccines i.p. or i.m., orally, by immersion or by bath
- Anaesthesia (MS222, benzokain, phenoxyethanol)
  - always used prior to i.p. or i.m. vaccination
- Blood-sampling

PHARMAQ

- Marking of fish by fin clipping, fluorescent dye, implant or others
- Exposing the fish for live bacteria or virus for challenge
- Euthanised for sampling



### Fish currently used

## **Clinical development and documentation**

- Studies must be valid, using sufficient numbers of animals to obtain true differences between groups
  - Statistical design and methods must be used
- Tests and methods must be repeatable and reproducible
- Clinical laboratory and mini cage studies should give a real answer, thus mimic the situation in field



## Fish currently used Clinical development phase



- Virulence testing by exposing fish to the disease agent
  - 800 fish pr. study (4 strains \* 2 adm. methods \* 50 fish \* 2 reps)
- Development of challenge models
  - 800 fish pr. study (4 adm. methods \* 2 groups \* 50 fish \* 2 reps)
- Cross protection studies in target species
  - 2000 fish pr. study (2 groups \* 100 fish \* 5 challenge strains \* 2 reps)
- Dose titration studies including challenge
  - 2000 fish pr. study (5 doses \* 100 fish \* 2 groups \* 2 reps)

#### The number of fish sacrificed are dependent on the success rate





### Fish currently used Documentation of safety - lab. (GLP)



- Secure that the product is safe to use (not toxic)
- Documentation of 3 batches
- Fish blood sampled prior to vaccination
- Marked by fin clipping
- Injected double dose of vaccine and observed for 21 days

Test	Guideline	# fish /batch	# fish (total)	Observation
Double dose safety	Ph Eur.	50	200	21 days
Field trials	Ph. Eur.	Not defined	Not defined	Until slaughter

Safety test is important, value of a 3 weeks test may be questioned





# Fish currently used **Documentation of efficacy –lab.**



- Show consistency between batches
- Discriminate between batches of optimal and sub-optimal p
- One dose of vaccine injected
- Fish marked by fin clipping
- Challenge i.p. 4-6 weeks post vaccination
- Control mortality  $\geq$  60%
- Mortality observed until 21 days after the first death of fish

<u>Controversial:</u> Ph. Eur. method is not always the best tool to discriminate between batches

Test	Guidelin e	<pre># fish / batch and antigen</pre>	# fish (total)	Observation
Efficacy	Ph Eur.	100		21 days after the first death
Monovalent			400	
Hexavalent			2400	

Efficacy test is important, numbers of fish statistically applicable





# Fish currently used **Challenge studies**

Results from laboratory challenge test



Salmon vaccinated with 2 commercial vaccines Challenged 5 weeks post vaccination

<u>Questions to be raised:</u> •Stop the challenge earlier? •Sample moribund fish • reduce suffering – more humane endpoint?

Efficacy test is important, mortality vs morbidity may be discussed





## Fish currently used Field documentation efficacy (GCP)

#### Trial in mini cages



#### <u>Design</u>

- •Two replicate cages
- •1000 3000 fish per cage
- •6-8 groups per cage
- •Groups are marked and mixed
- •Two premises ran in parallel

#### <u>Advantages</u>

Frequent sampling
Eliminate cage variation
May be exposed to natural challenge
Use a limited number of fish

#### <u>Disadvantages</u>

Outbreak of disease rarely occursDoes not equal production cagesGrowth

#### The mini cage studies give good and reliable documentation





## Fish currently used Field documentation efficacy GPC

Trial in production cages

20mx20m20m

#### <u>Design</u>

•One cages

•100 000 fish per cage

•10-50% fish marked

- •Fish used for consumption
- •Often done with two licensed products

<u>Advantages</u> •Production conditions •Self experience •May be exposed to natural challenge

#### **Disadvantages**

Outbreak of disease rarely occursReplicates more difficultDifficult to do proper sampling

Are fish vaccinated with licensed vaccines, under standard conditions experimental animals?





Com Field

Batch testing

# Fish currently used **Duration of protection**



- Mini cage trials suitable for field safety documentation
- Commercial scale trials useful for monitoring growth of vaccinated fish
- Field trials are not suitable for documentation of duration of protection
  - Outbreak of disease rarely occur,
  - Antibody analysis?

#### • Field duration of protection studies, has been <u>replaced</u> by: Laboratory duration of protection studies

- The number of animals has been reduced



# Fish currently used **Duration of protection**

- Injected one dose of vaccine
- Blood sampled and marked fish
- Challenged at different time points post vaccination
- Mortality observed until 21 days after the first death of fish

Test	Guideline	Chall. time	# fish (total)	Observation
Efficacy	Ph Eur.			21 days after the first death
Monovalent		6 m.	400	
Hexavalent		6 m.	2400	
		12 m.	2400	

The test is essential for product documentation





## Fish for batch release Current batch testing of product



- Every batch must be tested for potency and safety (Ph. Eur.)
- Safety: 10 fish injected double dose per batch, 21 days observation
- Potency: minimum 30 fish vaccinated and challengetested per antigen per batch
  - 70 fish for monovalent vaccine
  - 420 fish for hexavalent vaccine
  - every test, includes challenge and takes approx. 3 months

Batch testing is mandatory, currently fish challenge is used





# Fish for batch release **PHARMAQ numbers, 2004**



- Produced 40 batches of vaccine, released according to Ph. Eur.
- Stability tested 10 batches of vaccine
  - Fish sacrificed for standard safety testing: 1000
  - Fish sacrificed for potency testing: 11250

Numbers include batch-testing vaccines for Canada, Chile, Denmark, Faeroe Islands, Finland, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Norway, Sweden, Turkey and United Kingdom

Could these tests on a final product be reduced or replaced?



### Fish currently used - overall The major use in the fish vaccine industry

- Development and documentation
  - <20 000 fish per product (dependant upon success)</p>
- Batch release of final products
  - < 15 000 fish per year</p>
- Clinical field trials commercial scale, with licensed products
  - Several 100 thousands in one study



## Fish currently used - overall Main points for improvement

• Secure quality of the products by *in vitro* quality control and -assurance prior to clinical trials



### Reduce Refine Replace

## Reduce batch safety and potency tests

- Good Manufacturing Practice ensures safety and efficacy
  - Production in consistence and suitable manner
  - Extensive In Process testing and control
  - Securing quality, reproducibility and quality at every step of production by validated *in vitro* tests
- Only inactivated fish vaccines are licensed (ex. Chile)
- Relevance of safety and potency tests can be questioned
  - Safety test is a toxicity test
  - Potency test does not always discriminate properly

#### **GMP** secure quality of products





## Reduce Refine Replace Reduce no. of fish in batch safety test

Position paper EMEA/CVMP/865/03 Final

- Final bulk -> several batches -> one test
  - If several batches are prepared from same Final bulk, the safety test is carried out on the first batch and then omitted.
- Position paper suggests to <u>reduce</u> the frequency of safety test provided:
  - Full batch protocols on minimum 10 batches
  - Satisfactory pharmacovigilance system and pharmacovigilance data

#### The frequency of the batch safety test may be reduced



#### **Reduce Refine Replace**

## Reduce no. of fish in batch potency test

- Potency testing on final product is mandatory
  - Within the current framework, the methods may be refined from challenge to antibody measure
  - The monograph should be revisited, and *in vitro* test included

New efficient potency tests should be developed and validated





### Reduce Refine Replace Replace clinical potency by antibody measure

- Potency test by antibody measure
  - Ph. Eur. opens for antibody measures as potency test
  - Correlation between efficacy and titre must be demonstrated
  - The test must be validated



PHARMAQ

- Advantage:
  - Reduced number of fish
    - From 420 to 35 fish for a hexavalent vaccine
  - Reduced suffering no challenge
  - Implementation
    - Every vaccine manufacturer must validate tests for its own products
    - Variation application (Type II) must be approved by the authorities prior to implementation.

Development -> method -> validated method -> approved method

1-2 yrs

## Conclusion

- The industry should keep improving the in vitro quality assurance in order to test well defined during proof of concept
- The industry, the scientific community and regulatory authorities is and should be working to reduce and refine models
- The definition of experimental animals should be refined



### **Future**



#### Within 5-10 years

- Reduced frequency of batch-safety tests
- Refined the potency method
  - Challenge replaced by antibody measure.
  - Reduced number of animals

#### Within 10-15 years

Replaced batch potency by *In vitro* model

#### **Refine the definition on research animal:**

 Discriminate between fish animals that suffer (i.e. challenge) and animals that are handled by standard procedures used in the industry.

#### Thank you for your attention



